

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/057,178	01/24/2002	Kit S. Lam	8141/9886	5311	
7590 02/25/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Audrey A. Millemann			EPPERSO	EPPERSON, JON D	
Weintraub Gens	hlea Chediak Sproul Law	Corporation	-		
11th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
400 Capitol Mall			1639	1639	
Sacramento, CA 95814			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	(,			
		10/057,178	LAM ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jon D Epperson	1639				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	tion appears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence a	ddress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutoure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mation. 1ys, a reply within the statutory minimum or period will apply and will expire SIX (6) by statute, cause the application to becor	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered tim MONTHS from the mailing date of this ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status							
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)	oxtimes This action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the app	ication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	vithdrawn from consideration					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			·			
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected	to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	÷, ,	•				
445	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•	• ,	` '			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attac	ched Office Action or form P	PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of t		een received in this Nationa	il Stage			
* 0	application from the International	, ,,,					
" }	See the attached detailed Office action fo	or a list of the certified copies	not received.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Intervi	ew Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC		No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT	TO-152)			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		· ,			

Art Unit: 1639

DETAILED ACTION

Species Election

1. Upon review of the instant case, the application was deemed to contain claims directed to patentably distinct species of the claimed invention. Election from the following species is required. Note: applicant must elect *one* species from *each* subgroup below.

Subgroup 1: Species of first mixture of molecules (see claims 3, 16)

Applicant must elect, for the purposes of search, a *single species* of first mixture of molecules (e.g., protein extract from normal cells). Applicants must also indicate whether a first mixture of molecules is being used or not (e.g., compare claim 1 to claim 16 wherein a first mixture of molecules is used in claim 1, but not claim 16).

Subgroup 2: Species of target molecules (see claim 3)

Applicant must elect, for the purposes of search, a *single species* of target molecules (e.g., protein extract from cancer cells).

Subgroup 3: Species of solid supports (see claim 4)

Applicant must elect, for the purposes of search, a *single species* of solid supports (e.g., one-bead-one-compound peptide library).

Subgroup 4: Species of labeling (see claim 5)

Applicant must elect, for the purposes of search, a *single species* of labeling (e.g., biotinylation).

Subgroup 5: Species of marking step (see claim 8)

Applicant must elect, for the purposes of search, a *single species* of marking step (e.g., incubating with 5-bromo-4-chloro3-indlyl-phosphate).

Subgroup 6: Species of immobilization (see claim 9)

Applicant must elect, for the purposes of search, a *single species* immobilization (e.g., no immobilization, immobilization with support matrix, etc.).

Page 2

Art Unit: 1639

Subgroup 7: Species of image (see claim 11)

Applicant must elect, for the purposes of search, a single species image (e.g., pixel-by-pixel with B-A/A).

Page 3

Subgroup 8: Species of image instrumentation (see claim 11)

Applicant must elect, for the purposes of search, a *single species* image instrumentation (e.g., dissecting microscope, see specification). Applicants must also indicate what is used for the image manipulation (e.g., Adobe Photoshop, see specification).

Subgroup 8: Species of label binder (if used) (see claims 16-17)

Applicant must elect, for the purposes of search, a *single species* of label binder if used (e.g., see claim 17 wherein streptavidin-alkaline phosphatase conjugate is used.

- 2. <u>Please Note:</u> Applicants must disclose which claims read on the elected species (see paragraphs 6 and 7 below).
- 3. The species are distinct, each from the other, because their structures and modes of action are different. They would also differ in their reactivity and the starting materials from which they are made. For different species of method, the method steps for each species would differ. Moreover, the above species can be separately classified. Consequently, the species have different issues regarding patentability and represent patentably distinct subject matter. Therefore, this does create an undue search burden, and election for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Art Unit: 1639

5. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance if the

Page 4

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement <u>must include an identification of the</u>

species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or
that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

- 7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, *applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species*. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.43). Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicants to request an oral election was not made. See MPEP § 812.01.

Art Unit: 1639

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 5

- 10. Applicant is also reminded that a 1 month (not less than 30 days) shortened statutory period will be set for response when a written requirement is made without an action on the merits. This period may be extended under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Such action will not be an "action on the merits" for purposes of the second action final program, see MPEP 809.02(a).
- Finally, Applicant is reminded that where applicant elects claims directed to a product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Art Unit: 1639

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jon D Epperson whose telephone number is (571) 272-0808. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on (571) 272-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Art Unit: 1639

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jon D. Epperson, Ph.D. February 22, 2005

Page 7